		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Monday, July 30, 2018	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25	The second secon	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

PATRICK ROGAN, PRESIDENT

TIM PERRY, VICE PRESIDENT

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

WAYNE EVANS

KYLE DONAHUE

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

JEANNIE DAVIDSON, SECRETARY

AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please. MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry. 4 MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue. 6 7 MR. DONAHUE: Here. 8 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan. 13 MR. ROGAN: Here. 14 MR. EVANS: I would like to make a 15 motion to take from the table Resolution No. 16 59 2018, and place it in Seventh Order for 17 final vote. 18 MR. PERRY: Second. 19 MR. ROGAN: On the question? 20 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, 21 I'm going to be voting "no". I'm going to 22 detail additional reasons why I don't think 23 we should bring this back for a final vote 24 because there are discrepancies between 25 Mr. Abrahamsen's firm's first submittal,

supplemental submittal and his second supplemental submittal and, quite frankly, I mean, I think this is ridiculous that we are going to allow a law firm to do work with the city with their track record over the last few years so I'll be voting "no" and have an explanation at the end of the meeting.

Just to clarify, this is MR. ROGAN: motion is just to bring it up for a final vote, I'm sure we will have a debate regarding the merits of the legislation. Any citizens wishing to discuss this piece of legislation can do so during fourth order, citizens' participation.

All those in favor signify by saying

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

Opposed? MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: No.

MR. DONAHUE: No.

MR. ROGAN: The ayes have it and so

moved.

Please dispense with the reading of

1	the minutes.
2	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
3	ASSESSOR'S REPORT FOR HEARING DATE HELD JULY
4	25, 2018.
5	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
6	If not, received and filed.
7	MS. REED: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
8	REPORT FOR HEARING DATES TO BE HELD AUGUST
9	8, 2018 AND AUGUST 15, 2018.
10	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
11	If not, received and filed.
12	MS. REED: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
13	RESULTS REPORT FOR HEARING DATE HELD JULY
14	18, 2018.
15	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
16	If not, received and filed.
17	MS. REED: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
18	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD JUNE
19	20, 2018.
20	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
21	If not, received and filed.
22	MS. REED: 3-E. AGENDA FOR THE
23	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING TO BE HELD
24	AUGUST 8, 2018.
25	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. EVANS: I actually have a few, if you don't find. First of all, the Friends of Connors Park presents the third annual Bob McGoff 5-K Run/One Mile Walk. It's a \$20 registration fee, Sunday August 26, 2018, the. Race starts at 9 a.m. at Connors Park 515 Orchard Street in South Side. To sign up you can go to Runsignup.com/ bobmcgoff. Also, the proceeds will benefit St. Francis Assisi Kitchen and scholarships for four 2019 graduates.

Also, at the race we will be giving our second annual city council couple to the winner of a three-race series, two of the Run Around Scranton races and the McGoff race as far as that's concerned.

Also, what is being billed as
Lackawanna Arts weekend, downtown will be a
pretty exciting place this weekend. This
Friday, of course, is First Friday. Always
a great event. There is also the 14th

annual Scranton Jazz Festival on Friday,
Saturday and Sunday. The main stage is at
the Radisson Hotel and there will be
entertainment all over downtown at various
venues during the jazz walk each evening.
Check out the artists and schedule at
Scrantonjazzfestival.org.

Also, Scranton Made and their dedicated group of organizers of the Lackawanna Arts Fest on courthouse square which begins at 12 a.m. on Saturday until 8 p.m. is the area's largest arts festival and features over 150 vendors, live music, food, interactive art and much more. That's all I have.

MR. PERRY: I have one, the National Night Out will be held Tuesday, August 7, at Scranton High School from 6 to 9 p.m. The event is held nationally each year on the first Tuesday in August to promote police and community partnership. There will be a lot of activities for kids including finger painting, free food, there will be entertainment and police and fire demonstrations, and I know I talk about this

every year because it's a really good event for the community and it's just something for the kids to see up close, all of the equipment that the police and the fire have it's something special, so if you have the opportunity bring the kids out to see it. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: The North Scranton
Neighborhood Association will held their
monthly meeting in Weston Park on Monday,
August 6 at 6:00 p.m. The topic of the
meeting will be the proposed development in
North Scranton concerning the new
construction of apartment buildings in the
area of the Hollows and Wale Street area.
Any neighbors interested in what is being
proposed for development in this area should
attend this meeting at the park on August 6.
Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Today will be council's last meeting before the August recess.

Council will resume meetings on Monday,

September 10 at 6:00 p.m.

On Monday, September 3, city hall will be closed for the Labor Day holiday.

CITIZENS'

Marie

5-A.

1 DPW is off that day as well. Refuse and recycling will one day behind the week of 2 3 that holiday. 4 MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. PARTICIPATION. 5 (The following speakers offered 6 7 public comment as follows: Joan Hodowanitz 8 spoke on city business and matters of 9 general concern. Gerard Hetman spoke on 10 matters of general concern. Ron Ellman 11 spoke on matters of general concern. 12 Schumacher spoke on city business and 13 matters of general concern. Al Young spoke 14 on city business.) MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 15 16 MOTIONS. 17 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Perry, any motions 18 or comments? 19 MR. PERRY: Yes. Thank you. 20 first, Mr. Young, the last time we spoke 21 things were going in the right direction. 22 mean, it's -- I'm heartbroken. I don't know 23 the ins and out of decisions or the legality 24 of it, but that's a phone call I'll 25 definitely make to find out one way or the

24

25

other because as far as I know any time anything has been put in front of us we have done nothing about taken care of it as fast as we could to help your issue and to hear all of these snags come back on a legal issue it's very disheartening and I know I don't just speak for myself on this I speak for all of us when we hear this. It's just-- I mean, this has been going on too long and I'll make phone calls or go take a visit, take a walk today and see if I can at least get my head around why the ping pong game, I don't understand it. But I can't talk too intelligently about it because I don't know all of the ins and outs yet.

With that said, there is an issue on the 3200 block, the end of the 3200 block of Powell Court. There is a blocked storm drain. I don't know if it's a single or dual use so I don't know the responsibility, if it lies on us or the water company, so if we can make our first step to get the ball rolling and send that off to public works for a site check. I actually have some pictures, Lori, I'll e-mail with them with

you with the request and they can get out there but I know this it's blocked solid. There is just no room for anything. I wasn't even sure there was a drain there. I was there a couple of times to take pictures and it was just puddle, puddle, puddle and then finally after a week or two of dry spells I actually saw the drain.

Also, my city e-mail has been hit or miss with me most of the summer. It was working good for a little while, but I just got a bunch in all at once so I'm going to answer all of these e-mails today after the meeting but if you would, if anybody doesn't want to contact me if you would use an alternate e-mail so it's a little bit more reliable and that e-mail address is timperryccv@hotmail.com. Timperryccv@hotmail.com, and that way I can just be little bit more fluent with the e-responses and not, you know, have to wait until, you know, when the city e-mail address wants to work.

And that's all I have for right now.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Donahue,

any motions or comments?

MR. DONAHUE: The only thing I have, Mrs. Reed, would you able to send a letter to the Law Department requesting an update on what's going on, to set up a meeting to request an update on what's going on with the Young property? That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Evans, any motions or comments?

MR. EVANS: A few. First of all, my understanding the reassessment is revenue neutral in the first year and 5 percent in the year following a reassessment, which could be normal for any city or municipality to be allowed to do that.

As far the HUP test and reassessment I don't think they're mutually exclusive, I think actually a reassessment helps with a HUP test because we are going to be identifying properties as we go. I don't disagree that we should be doing that now. The administration is responsible for that. I have chided them on several occasions to do that and I'll continue to do that as

well. To my knowledge, the only entity that ever has done that is Allegheny County so we would be the first, at least on this side of the state, to challenge the properties and see if they should be classified as non-tax exempt via the HUP test.

On the AirBnB, the funding is in place for a new zoning code. The RFP is out and we expect that be to started either the last quarter of this year or the first quarter of 2019.

The information Marie has been asking for, I have it, I received it via e-mail. I didn't get a response yet from Evan, but as soon as I get a response I'll let you know as well.

On another topic, today I'm going to talk a little bit about the subject of smaller locally owned businesses and what I'm going to discuss today is another outgrowth of the idea session, as a matter of fact, that was mentioned before on small business. To that point, I'm going to periodically propose policy changes within the City of Scranton that will help and

enhance our existing and small business community. First, I would like to expand on what a locally owned business means to our community. I think we can all agree that they certainly play a central role in any healthy city and are among the best economic engines that drive a city to advancing growth and opportunity. Small business ownership has historically been a pathway to the middle class for generations of Americans and Scrantonians alike and it continues to be a vital tool for expanding prosperity.

According to the Institute for Local Self Reliance, there are five key reasons that we should all support the small and locally owned business community. By the way, when I use the word "we" I mean we as local public officials. Number one, local small businesses are linked to higher incomes and less inequality. Number two, an entrepreneurship fuels job creation. Three, independent businesses generate more tax revenue at a lower public cost. Four, local businesses foster community cohesion and

well-being; and, five, community scaled businesses reduce pollution and improve environmental sustainability.

So the first policy change I would like to discuss is the purchasing and procurement policy of the City of Scranton. Studies have shown that when local governments purchase goods and services from local independent businesses there is a positive feedback loop that is created which increases economic activity, employment and tax revenue. So in effect you are using the tool of city spending to foster economic development by sourcing products and services locally.

To that end, I have asked BA Bulzoni to review the City's current purchasing and procurement policy with an eye towards buying more locally. It is my understanding that we're doing some of that now, but I'm not aware of a formal policy to that effect that is currently in place. I hope to have something to report on when we return from our recess and I am confident that is something we can easily achieve.

Also, the concept may be a great opportunity for the shared services committee working with the school district. It shouldn't always be about the low price for a product or service. As I noted earlier, keeping our money local allows it to be recycled within in our community, within our city and a lower public cost and results clearly indicate that many economic benefits beyond a lower price are created by simply buying local.

Again, I can't stress enough how important maintaining and growing our locally owned small business community is. It is our responsibility to remove government obstacles and to encourage their growth in every way we can. We should all be absolutely committed to making this happen.

A quick note on parking, especially downtown. I'd like to remind everyone that on street parking in the downtown is free after 5 p.m. Monday through Friday and all day and evenings Saturday and Sunday.

During those timeframes there are hundreds

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

spaces throughout the downtown so please take advantage of that and visit our businesses and restaurants, shop, stop for dinner, even take a yoga class, whatever you want to do, we have a diverse and interesting downtown as well as a diverse neighborhood commercial area so please advantage of all that we have to offer and check that out. And I have the rest for agenda items. Thank you.

and hundreds and hundreds of free parking

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Gaughan, any motions or comments?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. First comment that I'd like to make is in regards to Mr. Young and, obviously, we have talked about this for the last few years, which is troubling and concerning in and of itself.

The bottom line here is and, Mr. Young, I've had numerous conversations with you, he is being held hostage basically in his own home. Number one, because there is a big hole in his backyard; number two, because he couldn't sell his home if even if he tried; and number three, it's my belief that once

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lawyers get involved common sense sometimes goes out the window.

It was quite sometime ago when Mr. Young came to council. The city deemed that an emergency, which it is, it is a public safety emergency, anyone who has not seen his backyard and hasn't seen the proximity to an alley which is right behind his property and the children that play in that neighborhood would understand that it is a major, major hazard. So the fact that here we are years later still waiting for the city to make a move, and I understand the complexity of the situation because of the Meadow Brook Creek project, but the emergency takes precedent over that. have had conversations with the administration, we thought we were getting some place, and as Mr. Young pointed out, you take one step forward and five steps back.

It's my belief that -- I agree with Mr. Young that the five of us, five council members, need to go to the mayor and demand that he settle this once and for all. Get

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rid of the attorneys, get rid of the lawsuits, and take care of the issue because if this was in your backyard or if it was in anybody else's backyard you would expect the same. So I would ask that the five of my colleagues call the mayor, set up a meeting and let's get in issue taken care of once and for all.

My last comment is about the caucus that we had this afternoon with the Pennsylvania Economy League. First of all, it's my belief that the caucus should have been more than a half hour. It's not nearly enough time, I had an additional questions that I was not able to get it, so that's Number two, I asked for this number one. caucus months ago and it is completely unacceptable that not one person from the administration bothered to show up and sit at that table and answer questions. not only for the Pennsylvania Economy League to come but for the mayor and the business administrator because they are the ones that are crafting the budget. You know, it's not like we are talking about installing a

sidewalk at Mt. Pleasant, we are talking about the financial stability of the city over the next few years and our exit from Act 47. So the fact that -- and I understand Mr. Bulzoni had a prior commitment and he did show up at the end and sat in the audience, but it's unacceptable that no one from the city bothered to come and answer any questions or chime in on the city's financial health over the next few years.

It was also really concerning to me as we checked through some of the recommendations in the exit plan that there was either a non-answer from PEL or the fact that they didn't know what the progress was from the city or that there was no progress. There are simple things in that plan from the debt management policy to the fact, and this goes back to 2015, to get all of the ordinances and regulations and rules from the Pennsylvania Boards and create a governance policy, and still we are here in 2018 and there is none so that's concerning.

So, you know, I hope that when we

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

come back in September before we get into
the budget that we can ask PEL and the mayor
and the business administrator to come back
before many council so that we can have
these conversations and so we can understand
where we are going to be in the next two or
three years as we exit Act 47. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Just a couple of comments. The double pension was brought up again, and unfortunately, crafting legislation takes a lot longer than we would like but it is something that's continuing to be worked on and there will be legislation, that much I can guarantee you to put reforms in place to stop the double pension situation from happening again and this is something I have been working on, geez, probably over two years at this point. Unfortunately, it does take a while. took us a year just to get the state police report so it is something that we are still actively working on.

The second item, which is one that a lot of other towns have done and a couple of people brought it up to me, a number of

1

3

5

7

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other towns around Scranton have banners on a lot of their main streets for hometown heroes, and I think that's a great program, it's something I think we should look at doing. It's a great way to honor our veterans and really it also spruces up those streets. When you drive down some of the streets in surrounding communities that have those hometown hero banners not only is it an honor to the veterans, their families, a measure of pride and it makes the streets look nice.

So if my colleagues would agree, I'd like to send a letter to the administration asking that the city look into some type of hometown heros program. It might be something we want to partner with maybe one of the local nonprofits with, maybe the Chamber of Commerce. I do think it's a great idea and I have to thank the residents that brought it up to me. Scranton is not doing this type of program when other towns are and it really has been popular in other So if everyone agrees, I'd like to areas. send that letter to the mayor.

And, finally, just following up on the caucus with PEL, I do agree, I actually didn't get a chance to ask any questions as well because we did run out on time.

Overall, though, I'm glad the representatives of PEL came in and really being on council as long as I have this is by far the most positive report financially that very have received from PEL. You know, the financial standpoint with the city not having to raise taxes in two years, we are paying our bills which, again, shouldn't be an accomplishment, but ten years ago, even lesser than that it was a big accomplishment just to have money on hand to be able to pay bills.

So I'm grateful for the amount of progress that we have made. I'm not sure others and the newspaper will probably harp on the areas of concern, but all in all the city has made tremendous progress over the last number of years and that's something I'm hoping will continue to move forward and hoping to continue a cooperative relationship not only with PEL, but with our

1 other community partners. And that is all. Thank you. 2 MS. REED: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS 3 TIME. 4 SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO BUSINESS AT 5 THIS TIME. 6 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. 7 8 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC 9 WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL 10 NO. 26, 2018 - GRANTING A TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION AND PERMANENT MAINTENANCE 11 EASEMENT AGREEMENT ON PROPERTY OWNED BY THE 12 CITY OF SCRANTON TO SCRANTON LACKAWANNA 13 14 INDUSTRIAL BUILDING COMPANY FOR THE INSTALLATION OF SIDEWALKS AT THE MOUNT 15 PLEASANT CORPORATE CENTER ALONG MOUNT 16 17 PLEASANT DRIVE AS MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN 18 EXHIBIT "A". 19 MR. ROGAN: What is the 20 recommendation of the Chair for the 21 Committee on Public Works? 22 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 23 Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 24 passage of Item 7-A. 25 MR. PERRY: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question? 1 Ro11 call, please? 2 3 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Yes. 4 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue. 5 MR. DONAHUE: Yes. 6 7 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans. 8 MR. EVANS: Yes. 9 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan. 10 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 11 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan. 12 MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare 13 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 14 MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 15 16 FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 65, 2018 -17 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 18 CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE A 19 GRANT FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE CAPITAL PROGRAM (RACP) THROUGH THE 20 21 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA'S OFFICE OF THE BUDGET IN THE AMOUNT OF EIGHT HUNDRED 22 23 THOUSAND \$800,000.00 DOLLARS; ACCEPTING AND 24 DISBURSING THE GRANT; AND COORDINATE THE USE 25 OF THE GRANT FUNDS WITH SCRANTON-SAMTER, LP,

FOR THE PROJECT TO BE NAMED THE "SAMTER
REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT".
MR. ROGAN: What is the
recommendation of the Chairperson for the
Committee on Community Development?
MR. DONAHUE: As Chair for the
Committee on Community Development, I
recommend final passage of Item 7-B.
MR. PERRY: Second.
MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
call, please?
MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry.
MR. PERRY: Yes.
MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue.
MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans.
MR. EVANS: Yes.
MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan.
MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
RESOLUTION NO. 66. 2018 - APPOINTMENT OF

1	PRITESHA PATEL, 678 ADAMS AVENUE, SCRANTON,
2	PENNSYLVANIA, 18510 AS THE ALTERNATE NO. 1
3	MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS FOR
4	THE CITY OF SCRANTON. MS. PATEL WILL FILL
5	THE ALTERNATE NO. 1 POSITION THAT WAS
6	VACATED BY PAUL MARCKS WHEN HE BECAME A
7	REGULAR MEMBER OF THE BOARD. MS. PATEL WILL
8	FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF MR. MARCKS WHICH
9	EXPIRES ON JULY 1, 2020.
10	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-C.
13	MR. PERRY: Second.
14	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
15	call, please?
16	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry.
17	MR. PERRY: Yes.
18	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue.
19	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
20	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans.
21	MR. EVANS: Yes.
22	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
24	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare

Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. REED 7-D - PREVIOUSLY TABLED FOR CONSIDERATION FOR THE COMMITTEE ON RULES
- FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 59 2018 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT WITH
ABRAHAMSEN, CONABOY & ABRAHAMSEN, P.C. FOR
SPECIAL LABOR COUNSEL LEGAL SERVICE FOR THE
CITY OF SCRANTON.

MR. ROGAN: As the Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-D.

MR. PERRY: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. You know, I'm not going to beat a horse to death because I think I have made my points over the last few weeks, but I do want to point out one thing. Mr. Abrahamsen and his firm submitted a supplemental submittal on July 16 when I had requested -- you know, when I pointed out that they did not fill out the RFQ correctly, so they did submit something on July 16 and it gave the person

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who contributed, to whom they contributed to, the date and then the amount. When I pointed out, again, that it still was not filled out correctly they submitted an additional document on July 20.

Now, the problem with this is, I will point out a few issues. Number one, there are political contributions that are on this second submittal that were not on the first. For example, April 4, 2013, Mr. Abrahamsen donated to Bill Courtright in the amount of \$2,500. That was not included on the first submittal. There are different dates for contributions, for example, July -- on the submittal from July 20 it says that Mr. Abrahamsen donated to Mr. Courtright on September 21, 2013, September 25, 2013, those dates are different than the ones that he submitted on July 16. There is several other examples of this, I'm not going to go through every one of them, but the bottom line here is it's extremely sloppy.

And, you know, based on all of this information that I presented over the last

21

22

23

24

25

few weeks coupled with the serious concerns that I have about this law firm, I can't in good conscience vote for this contract and I'm not sure how any of my colleagues can vote for it as well. The firm has made close to a million dollars over the last few years from doing business with this city and the fact that a simple RFQ was not filled out correctly is extremely concerning. mean, if they are not forthright with this information now and it was in the RFQ, you know, what if something comes up and we need to request additional information. How are we sure that they are giving us the correct documentation and that what they are giving us is truthful? You know, the fact that the dates are different, contributions to the mayor were left out of the initial supplemental document that was provided is extremely troubling.

The second point, we did meet with the city solicitor. She did provide answers to the questions that I had asked and I do appreciate that. We do not see eye-to-eye on this issue. I do not think that it was

fair that the city added language in the RFQ that the firm who understands the unique culture of Scranton's labor unions will be given preference. This was not in the original RFP back in 2014 when we had seven bidders for this contract. This year we only have two I think because of the language that was put in there. So, you know, it's my belief that we should be trying to get as many bidders as possible to get the best deal for the city.

Finally, you know, the fact that Mr. Abrahamsen attempted to sue me for asking for backup documentation for the work he did in exchange for \$400,000 is extremely troubling, not only pointed out by me, but my colleagues and members of the public last year. And I would like people to think of it this way, it's a simple analogy, if you went to a mechanic to fix your car and he charged you \$5,000 you would want to see a receipt for what he did or that amount of money. If the mechanic gave you a hard time when you asked for the backup documentation. If he gave you one receipt and then it

20

21

22

23

24

25

that wasn't right, you had to continue to ask for information, then he attempted to sue you because you were asking why he charged you \$5,000 I don't think, I'm guessing, that none of my colleagues up here or no one in the audience, no one in the public would hire that mechanic again, so logic should tell all of us that we should not hire this law firm again. Rebid it for all of the reasons that I have given over the last few weeks. So I do not think that this law firm should get in contract for all of the reasons that I have pointed out and I would hope that my colleagues would use common sense and vote against this contract. Thank you. MR. ROGAN: the question?

wasn't right and gave you another receipt,

Is there anyone else on

MR. EVANS: On the question, one thing I wanted to give some credit to is Attorney Eskra, the city solicitor, not just for responding to city council's questions, but to my knowledge this contract and the Labella contract were the first RFPs that

made a requirement by the city the completion of attachment "e" and full disclosure of campaign contributions to political candidates, so I think that brings a very bright light and additional transparencies to the process so I don't think that can be understated. Otherwise, I think it's time to vote.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, on the question, I wasn't clear about the completion for the RFQ and without having it fully filled out I was not for this contract. In the meantime, we did get everything that we needed to hear. I was against Mr. Abrahamsen's lawsuit against Councilman Gaughan, I was vocal about it at the time. He since has retracted that and, you know, I believe he has actually contacted Mr. Gaughan and said, "Don't worry about it," and that's good for me.

MR. GAUGHAN: Well, he has not contacted me personally, nor would I entertain a phone call because I don't really think that's appropriate, he did drop the lawsuit. But, look, I think a precedent

has been set here, gentlemen, that in the future should we ever request additional documentation or ask for additional information that, you know, we are under the guise of a possible threat that we may get sued. So I think that everyone should take that in strong consideration before we hire this firm again. Thank you.

MR. DONAHUE: Yeah, on the question, I'm going to -- I agree with Councilman Gaughan, I think if the requirements are put in a RFQ when it goes out it should go out with everything that the RFQ required, we shouldn't have to request additional information so that's why I believe it should be rebid and I will be voting against this. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please?

MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yes.

MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue.

MR. DONAHUE: No.

MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan.

ſ		
		35
1	MR. GAUGHAN: No.	
2	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan.	
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare	
4	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.	
5	If there is no further business,	
6	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
7	MR. PERRY: Motion to adjourn.	
8	MR. ROGAN: Meeting adjourned.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

•

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER